DIRECT DIAL

DIRECT FAX

2121235-5610

1917) 777:3610

EMAIL AQURESS

SGOLDFEI@SKADDEN COM

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

FOUR TIMES SQUARE
NEW YORK 10036-6522

TEL (212) 735-3000 FAX: (212) 735-2000 www.skeddon.com PERMIAFFILIATE DEVICES

BOSTON
CHICAGO
HOUSTON
LOS ANGELES
PALO ALTO
SAN PRANCISCO
WASHINGTON D.C.
WILMINGTON
PETITING
PRUSSELS
PRANKFLIHT
HONG KONG

LONDON MOSCOW MUNICH PARIS

SINGAPORE

TOKYO TORONTO

VIENNA

BY FACSIMILE

The Honorable Loretta A. Preska, U.S.D.J. United States District Court Room 1320, 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

October 18, 2007	
USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT	l
ELECTRONICALLY FILED	ŀ
DOC #:	
DATE FILED: 10/18/07	
	J

Re:

Madison Square Garden, L.P. v. National Hockey League et. al.,

No. 07 CIV 8455 (LAP)

Dear Judge Preska:

We represent the Defendants in the above-titled action. The last day for the National Hockey League, NHL Enterprises, L.P. and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises LLC to respond to the Complaint is October 22, 2007. The last day for NHL Enterprises Canada and NHL Enterprises BV to respond is October 18, 2007. By this letter, we respectfully request a forty-five (45) day extension of the time to respond to the Complaint based on the earliest response date. The new deadline for all Defendants would be December 3, 2007. Defendants requested that Plaintiff consent to a sixty (60) day extension. Plaintiff's counsel informed us that at this point in time they are willing to consent to only a two week extension, although counsel suggested that at a later date, plaintiff might consider a longer extension.

Defendants respectfully submit that a forty-five day extension is reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances. Since the Complaint was filed, Defendants have had to devote their efforts to responding to Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction. Plaintiff's reply papers are due today, and we will be spending the next several days preparing for next week's hearing. In the absence of a longer extension, Defendants will be forced to begin preparing their response while simultaneously preparing for next week's hearing and without the benefit of the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's preliminary injunction motion. This is the first request for such an extension and it does not affect any other scheduled dates in this case.

Thank you for your consideration of the Res

The for all the time to Res

No ben 16, IR PERCENT

No ben 16, See The PERCENT

No ben 16, See Th

Shepard Goldfein

cc: Meir Feder, Esq. (counsel for plaintiff, via e-mail)